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Abstract

Objectives: Thromboprophylaxis reduces the risk of surgery related deep venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolism. The classical anticoagulants (heparin and LWMH) were associated with systemic osteoporosis, poor bone healing and materials’ osseointegration. There is a lack of data concerning the effect of the new orally administered anticoagulants on osseointegration. The aim of this study is to investigate the possible effect of rivaroxaban, a direct anti-Xa factor, on osseointegration. Methods: Twenty eight white, male, Wistar rats were divided into two groups: Group A, study group (n=14) and group B, control group (n=14). In all animals under general anesthesia one screw was inserted on the right tibia. For twenty eight days the animals of group A received intraperitoneal rivaroxaban injections 5mgr/kg every day. The animals of group B received intraperitoneal equal amount of normal saline injections. At the end of the four weeks all animals were sacrificed and their right tibias were excised and underwent the pull-out test. Results: The mean values of pull-out test were 92.10±19.12N for the control group and 95.46±21.02N for the study group. The statistical analysis using t-test showed no significant difference (p=0.665) for the pull-out test. Conclusions: These results indicate that Rivaroxaban hasn’t got any deleterious effect on the osseointegration of implants on rats.
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Introduction

Thromboprophylaxis reduces the risk of surgery related deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism. The usual anticoagulants (heparin and low molecular weight heparins) where associated with systemic osteoporosis, a known risk factor for poor bone healing and possibly implants’ osseointegration.

Rivaroxaban (Xarelto, Bayer) is a novel oral anticoagulant with specific ability to inhibit factor Xa, a serine endopeptidase which plays a key role in coagulation.

There is strong evidence for the beneficial effect of Rivaroxaban in thromboprophylaxis as well as in the increased compliance of the patients and therefore it is in wide use postoperatively in orthopaedic operations, mainly in elderly patients with osteoporosis where implants (screws, plates, prosthesis) are applied.

The aim of this study was to investigate the possible effect of Rivaroxaban on osseointegration of the screw, the most common material used in every orthopaedic surgery.
the implantation of the screws and were housed in special cages with automatic food and water administration, under stable conditions of temperature (22°±2°C) and humidity (50%±5%).

For a period of four weeks following implantation the animals of group A received intraperitoneal Rivaroxaban at a dose 5 mg/kg every day. This dose is the maximum dose for the human according to previous studies\textsuperscript{11,12} and the solution was prepared after pulverization of the tablets, dialysis with 99.5% DMSO (dimethyl-sulphoxide) stirring in Vortex apparatus and centrifugal force at 15000g for 5min. The animals of group B received intraperitoneal equal amount of normal saline every day.

Every day the animals were examined for their general health, feeding, weight, any reactions, wound situation and healing, and the doses of the administered drugs were adjusted accordingly.

**Implants design and preparation**

The material used for the construction of the screws was stainless steel 316 L with the following chemical composition (Figure 1).

This material is the simplest and most common orthopaedic material in use. These custom made screws were 2 mm cortex screws with thread diameter 2.0 mm, core diameter 1.4 mm, diameter of head 4.5 mm with thread length 5.0 mm. This screw is special because of its head as it has a hole which allows the contact with the machine for the pull-out strength and gives stability. It has also a top cut for the screw driver (Figures 2, 3).

**Implantation technique**

The animals were anesthetized by abdominal injection of ketamine (100 mg/kg) and xylazine (10 mg/kg). The skin of the right tibia was saved and cleaned with 70% ethanol. Under aseptic conditions an anterior approach with a 10 mm incision was made to gain access to the proximal medial aspect of the tibia metaphysis. A 1 mm diameter hole was drilled and the implant was inserted, strictly perpendicular to the surface of the cortical bone on the medial part of the proximal tibia, with about the same entry point and the same depth. After insertion of the implant the skin was sutured using 3-0 absorbable polyagalactic sutures (vicryl) (Figure 3).

**Biomechanical test (Pull-out test)**

The tibias were excised immediately after the sacrifice of the animals and individually wrapped in saline soaked gauze and frozen at -20°C in plastic bags.

Twenty eight right tibias (14 of group A and 14 of group B) underwent the pull-out test. The experimental device "PROCRUSTIS" was used for the pull-out experiments. It was studied, designed and manufactured by the Laboratory...
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It uses advanced software and adjustments so that its operation to be fully automated. The experimental device consists of two basic mechanisms. The first one is the mechanism which holds the bone in a stable position. The second one consists of a piston that uses air pressure in order to move the puller. Between the piston and the puller a piezoelectric measurement device was set in order to record the force and the displacement. The analogue signal of the measurement device was turned into digital through an AT converter. The digital control, the data processing, the recording and the results presentation were achieved through a program based on “Labriew” software. A typical result (Force-Displacement) is shown in the figure. When the pull-out experiment begins, the puller gains contact with the screw, the force raises linearly (Region I) until the screw is pulled out and the force turns to zero value (Region II) (Figure 5).

Results

The prices of forces for each specimen of both groups from pull-out experiments is shown in Figure 6. The mean values of pull-out test were 92.10±19.12 N for the control group and 95.46±21.02 N for the study group.

Statistical analysis

SPSS 24 for Windows was used. Normality of distributions was determined using Shapiro-Wilk test. Comparisons between the two groups were determined by T-Test. Significance level was fixed at p<0.05. After statistical analysis using t-test (p=0.664) the statistical difference was not significant.
Discussion

Osseointegration refers to a direct bone to metal interface without interposition of non-bone tissue. This concept has been described by Branemark as direct structural and functional connection between the bone and the surface of a load carrying implant. Therefore the term osseointegration describes a clinical state that provides a long-term stability of an implant (prosthesis or screws). Further improvement of osseointegration’s definition consists of multiple levels such as clinically, anatomically, histologically and ultrastructurally. Osseointegration which is the connection of “one living” with “one dead” tissue is very important for the survival of the implant, a very serious matter in every day practice. This procedure of osseointegration is a very complicated and multifaceted “phenomenon” since we have the tissue response to implantation, the peri-implant osteogenesis, the peri-implant bone remodeling and mainly numerous factors (local, general, internal and external) affecting osseointegration. Factors enhancing osseointegration include implant related factors (design, chemical composition, shape, coatings, etc), the status of the lost bone bed and its intrinsic healing potential, the use of adjuvant treatments such as bone grafting, osteogenetic biological coatings and pharmacological agents such as simvastatin, bisphosphonates and teriparatide.

Factors inhibiting osseointegration include excessive implant mobility, radiation therapy and pharmacological agents such as cyclosporine A, methotrexate, warfarin, low molecular weight heparins, non-steroid anti-inflammatory drugs and patients’ related factors such as osteoporosis, rheumatoid arthritis, advanced age, smoking, renal insufficiency etc. Thromboprophylaxis is necessary postoperatively in the majority of the Orthopaedic patients and the main and most common weapon for this is still LMWH. Unfortunately there is evidence for these drugs that they have deleterious effect on bone healing and osseointegration procedures. Although the exact mechanism for this effect of LMWH on bone biology is not clear, it seems that LMWH reduce cancellous bone volume, affecting osteoblasts and human mesenchymal stromal cells in the early stages of bone healing. In addition, LMWH seem to bind the vascular endothelium which disrupts callus vascular assembly and also increase the hematoma and cytotoxic effects on cells of the medullary callus.

The new orally administrated anticoagulant drugs are equally effective and safe with LMWH but there are also some indications that they do not affect bone healing. Kluter et al in an experimental study found that Rivaroxaban does not impair fracture healing in a rat femur fracture model after analysis of callus by histology and the quality of the callus by CT scans. Recent work from Israel showed no delay in bone healing as well as no affection of cell mineralization after the administration of high doses of Rivaroxaban. This phenomenon can be explained by the effect of the drug being transient or by an adaptation of the cells to the inhibiting effect and compensation by some other mechanism. It seems that the role of hematoma (post-traumatic or post-surgical) is essential in fracture healing as well as in osseointegration. So, increased fracture side hematoma volume as well as lacking hematoma may provide deleterious effects on physiological cascade of fracture healing. A study of the effect of Enoxaparin, Fondaparinux and Rivaroxaban in fracture healing found no difference on fracture healing for the three drugs but they used very small dose of Rivaroxaban (0.2 mg/kg) and for short period. Since Heparin and LWMH effects are dose dependent we used the maximum dose in order to have clear and obvious results. Recent study for the effects of Heparin and Rivaroxaban on bone microstructure and metabolism (bone quality and quantity) found that Rivaroxaban leads to fewer adverse effects on bone.

The present study demonstrates that Rivaroxaban, a novel oral anticoagulant, doesn’t at least influence the osseointegration of stainless steel screws at a dose leading to blood Rivaroxaban concentration close to the human maximum exposure after a therapeutic dose in everyday practice. This was illustrated by the small (non-statistically significant) increased force that it is necessary to loosen completely the implant. The evaluation of the test allows us to estimate the functional implant osseointegration into the surrounding bone which depends on several factors including bone mass amount and microarchitecture of the bone (quality). Here we demonstrate, for the first time, that Rivaroxaban, an oral anticoagulant widely used in orthopaedic surgery, hasn’t got at least any deleterious effect on osseointegration of implanted materials. These results are only indicative and future studies are needed to confirm and investigate the possible mechanisms of Rivaroxaban in osseointegration’s phenomenon.
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