Journal of Frailty, Sarcopenia and Falls

Original Article

Changes in physical activity predict changes in a comprehensive model of balance in older community-dwelling adults. A longitudinal analysis of the TILDA study

Ilona I. McMullan[1], Brendan P. Bunting[1], Suzanne M. McDonough[2,3,4], Mark A. Tully[1,5], Karen Casson[6]

[1]UKCRC Centre of Excellence for Public Health (NI), Ulster University, UK; [2]Institute of Nursing and Health Research, Ulster University, UK; [3]School of Physiotherapy, University of Otago, New Zealand; [4]School of Physiotherapy, Royal College of Surgeons in Dublin, Ireland; [5]Institute of Mental Health Services, Ulster University, UK; [6]Institute of Nursing and Health Research, Ulster University, UK

Abstract

Objective: Falls due to poor balance can cause injury, disability, and death in older adults. The relationship between free-living physical activity (PA) and balance over time is poorly understood. The aim of this study is to explore the association between PA and balance in older adults over time. **Methods**: Using two waves of data from the TILDA study (n=8,504 participants) a structural equation model was used to identify a composite measure of balance that incorporated measures of Timed Up and Go; handgrip strength; Mini Mental State Exam; vision; hearing; and steadiness. The patterns of change in PA and balance were then compared over time (controlling for covariates). **Results**: The results showed that one extra metabolic equivalent of task (MET) minute of PA improves balance by 4% over one week (Est=-0.10, SE=0.12), and by 5% cumulatively over two years (Est=-0.13, SE=0.02). Medication, alcohol consumption, sex, age, fear of falling, education, pain, and problems performing activities of daily living (ADL) were risk factors for balance. **Conclusion**: This study provides a novel and robust model that should guide comprehensive balance assessment. PA promotion should engage older adults in more free-living PA that may be more relevant to them.

Keywords: Falls, Low-intensity physical activity, TILDA

Introduction

The global prevalence of falls is high, with approximately one in three community-dwelling older adults (≥65 years) falling each year resulting in injury, disability, loss of independence, and death in older adults[1,2]. In the UK, falls were reported as the ninth highest cause of disability adjusted life years (DALYs)[3] with associated costs estimated at £2 billion per year[1,2]. Consequently, falls present a considerable public health challenge.

The general health benefits of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) are well understood[4-11]. In contrast, there is a lack of understanding of the effects of everyday living PA, lower intensity physical activity (LIPA) such as walking for leisure, occupational, or transportation purposes, on balance which may require a longer period of study than that typically afforded by clinical trials[4,12,13]. Furthermore, our group recently published a systematic review (n=1,547 participants; 30 studies) supporting the effects of PA for balance and found moderate quality cross-sectional studies (26 studies) making conclusions regarding causality difficult[14].

The other significant challenge in this area is that balance assessment is complex. Contemporary balance theory proposes that comprehensive balance assessment should incorporate the assessment of neuromuscular, cognitive, and sensory body systems to accurately assess balance and effectively reduce falls[15-19]. Functional measures of balance

The authors have no conflict of interest. This research was supported by the Department of Education and Learning, Northern Ireland awarded to Ilona I. McMullan.

Corresponding author: *Ilona I. McMullan, Ulster University, School of Nursing, Shore Road, Newtownabbey, BT37 0QB, UK*

E-mail: *i.mcmullan@ulster.ac.uk*

Edited by: *Yannis Dionyssiotis*

Accepted *7 November 2019*

such as the Timed Up and Go test are widely used due to low cost and ease of implementation, but many different functional measures are available (26 measures[7]; 66 measures[19]; and 40 measures[14]), and guidance on which combination comprehensively assess balance is lacking[20]. Furthermore, McMullan et al.'s (2018) systematic review found only one of 30 studies included functional measures across all of the body systems required for comprehensive balance assessment[14].

Therefore, using data from the Irish Longitudinal study of Ageing (TILDA), a robustly designed longitudinal study that provides data relating to PA and balance over a two-year period from a large sample of community-dwelling participants (≥50 years) (n=8,504 participants), this study aims to investigate the longitudinal association between free-living PA and comprehensively measured balance in older adults.

Method

Study design

The Irish Longitudinal Study of Ageing (TILDA) is a nationally representative prospective cohort study exploring social, health and economic aspects of community dwelling older adults in the Republic of Ireland[21-23]. Data collection included (i) a computer-assisted personal interview (CAPI) that included detailed questions on sociodemographic, wealth, health, lifestyle, social support and participation, use of health and social care and attitudes to ageing; (ii) a self-completed questionnaire; and (iii) a detailed health assessment carried out by qualified and trained research nurses that included cognitive, cardiovascular, mobility, strength, bone and vision tests. This study uses data collected from both waves 1 and 2 of the TILDA study. Ethical approval for TILDA was obtained from the Trinity College Dublin Research Ethics Committee, and all participants provided written informed consent.

Measures

Measurement of balance

Balance is a multidimensional phenomenon, requiring the contribution from cognitive, neuromuscular, and sensory systems[16], and six functional measures of balance across the different body systems are available from the TILDA dataset.

Sensory system

Research suggests an association between conversational hearing and vestibular disfunction[24]; poor vision and increased sway[25]; and proprioceptive feedback issues and poor balance in older adults[26]. Self-rated measures of vision and hearing are reported to be good indicators of actual vision and hearing when compared with objective measures[27,28]. Vision and hearing were assessed using: "Is your eyesight (using glasses or corrective lenses)?"; "Is your hearing (with or without a hearing aid)?", excellent (1), very good (2), good (3) fair (4) or poor (5)?" A low score indicates good vision or hearing.

Cognitive system

Age is a risk factor for cognitive degeneration which can affect balance in older adults[29,30]. TILDA uses the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE)[31], a 30-item scale measuring attention, concentration, memory, language, visio-constructional skills, calculations, and orientation which provides a summary score for each participant. A high score indicates good cognitive function.

Neuromuscular system

TILDA objectively measures maximum grip strength using the highest score (Kg) from two tests on each hand from a Baseline hydraulic hand dynamometer (Fabrication, White Plains, NY, USA). This analysis uses the highest score on the dominant hand (Kg). A high score indicates good strength.

An objective measure of strength, mobility and gait speed was collected using the Time Up and Go test (TUG) using a chair with armrests and seat height of 46 cm. Participants were asked to rise from the chair, walk 3 meters at normal pace, turn around, walk back and sit down again. The time taken from the command "Go", to when the participant sat with their back resting against the back of the chair was recorded (s). A low score indicates good function.

Self-reported steadiness is reported in TILDA using: "when standing do you feel?" "when getting up from a chair, do you feel?" and "when walking, do you feel?" (1) very steady, (2) slightly steady, (3) slightly unsteady, (4) very unsteady. The summed score of steadiness is shown to be a predictive and reliable measure of falls in older adults[32,33].

Measurement of physical activity

TILDA uses the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ), a self-reported measure of physical activity that estimates habitual practice of physical activities[34]. The short form of the IPAQ is used which contains 8 items to estimate the time spent (days per week, minutes per day, hours per day) performing physical activities over the last 7 days (vigorous/moderate/walking). A summary measure of the total number of minutes per week on any physical activity is used in this analysis and was corrected in the analysis for measurement error by using the ICC of 0.76[34].

Covariates

Factors affecting balance that are not caused by other variables in the model were included[2].

Demographics

The variable of age (yrs), sex (female/male); and education level (primary education is <11 years of full-time; secondary education is 11-13 years of full-time; and tertiary education includes diploma/degree/higher) were included.

Observed variables	Wave 1	Wave 2
	(N=population; mean (standard deviation); range)	
Balance		
Vision (Likert scale 1-5) (high score is poor)	N=1709; 2.47 (0.99)	N=1529; 2.56 (0.89)
Hearing (Likert scale 1-5) (high score is poor)	N=1709; 2.46 (1.20)	N=1530; 2.60 (1.04)
MMSE (max. score 30) (high score is good)	N=1406; 28.30 (3.86); (range 15-30)	N=1530; 28.54 (3.96); (range 15-30)
Hand Grip test (kg) (high score is good)	N=1381; 26.05 (106.53); (range 2-65)	N=1412; 29.26 (158.21); (range -98-75)
TUG (secs) (high score is poor)	N=1392; 9.34 (13.25); (range 4.82-63.53)	N=1483; 9.81 (14.43); (range 2-51)
Steadiness (Likert scale 1-5) (high score is poor)	N=1707; 4.43 (4.74)	N=1707; 4.52 (5.04)
PA measure		
PA (total metabolic equivalent of task (MET) mins per week) (high score is good)	N=1707; 2.72 (10.19); (range 0-19.28)	N=1709; 2.19 (9.40); (range 0-17.89)
Covariates	**N=1709**	
Age	74.3yrs	
Sex	Female (42%) male (58%)	
ADL	yes (85%) no (15%)	
Pain	Yes (55%) no (45%)	
Education	Primary (27%), secondary (60%), tertiary (13%).	
Fall history	Yes (30%) no (74%)	
Medication	Yes (80%) no (20%)	
Alcohol	Yes (91%) no (9%)	
Sleep	Trouble falling asleep yes (18%) no (82%), trouble waking up too early yes (40%) no (60%)	

Table 1. Descriptive statistics for the TILDA sample.

Lifestyle and health

Fear of falling ("Are you afraid of falling?" yes/no); medication use (yes/no); pain ("Does pain affect your day to day activities?" yes/no); alcohol consumption (a) "Have people annoyed you by criticising your drinking?"; (b) "Have you ever felt guilty about drinking?"; and (c) "Have you ever felt you needed a drink first thing in the morning to steady your nerves or to get rid of a hangover?" yes/no); sleep (a) "How often do you have trouble falling asleep?" (b) "How often do you have trouble with waking up too early and not being able to sleep? 1=always, 2=most of the time, 3=sometimes, 2=hardly ever, 1=never); and difficulty performing any of six activities of daily life (ADL) such as dressing, walking across a room, bathing or showering, eating, getting in or out of bed, and using the toilet (yes/no).

Statistical analysis

This study uses a structural equation modelling (SEM) approach (Mplus, version 7.4; Muthen & Muthen, Los Angeles, CA) to analyse the TILDA data. SEM enables the composite measure/latent construct of balance to be modelled using multiple functional tests of balance across neuromuscular, cognitive and sensory body systems[35]. Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) within SEM tests factorial invariance (configural; scalar; and metric) which identifies whether differences over time in balance are due to true change in the underlying construct thus improving the consistency and reliability of the results[36,37]. The structural relationships between PA and balance were also explored and PA was allowed to have a direct effect on balance at waves 1 and 2[37,38]. Covariates were introduced and regressed onto PA at both waves 1 and 2. If the model fit indices indicated that the model did not adequately describe the data, then a direct effect was introduced from the covariate to balance.

A robust form of Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) uses a model-based estimation strategy for missing data thereore reducing standard errors. Missing data was assumed to be missing at random[39]. Model fit was evaluated using a Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA)



Figure 1. The relationship between PA and balance over a 2-year period controlling for covariates.

≤0.05 with an upper limit (90% CI) ≤0.08; a Comparative Fit Index (CFI) ≥0.95; a Tucker Lewis Index (TLI) ≥0.95; and a Standardised Root Mean Square Residual (SRMR) ≤0.05[37]. Where the levels of fit indices were not achieved, the modification indices were examined, and where appropriate, the necessary adjustments were made.

Results

A total of 1709 participants were included in the analysis. Table 1 provides the descriptive statistics for the sample. The mean age of the sample was 73.3 years; 58% were male; 73% had a secondary education or above; 74% had never fallen; 82% had no trouble falling asleep, and 60% had no problem waking up too early. A high proportion of the sample had an increased risk of falls where 85% had a disability in one ADL, 55% experienced pain which affected their everyday activities, 80% were taking medication, and 91% had high alcohol consumption.

Balance

Standardised factor loadings indicated that MMSE (Est=-0.33, S.E.=0.04), vision (Est=0.27, S.E.=0.02), hearing (Est=0.23, S.E.=0.03), handgrip strength (Est=-0.22, S.E.=0.03), TUG (Est=0.71, S.E.=0.04) and steadiness (Est=0.86, S.E.=0.04), had a statistically significant relationship with the composite measure/latent construct of balance (Figure 1). A residual correlation between vision and hearing was introduced because these measures showed a variance not explained by balance (Figure 1). A series of successive restrictions on the factor loadings for each measure of balance (metric invariance) can be assumed for each factor loading at both waves, showing that each measure demonstrated equal relationships with balance across time. Scalar invariance could not be assumed for the measures of balance excluding MMSE, demonstrating partial invariance (Table 2). Balance at wave one and two was highly correlated (Est=0.98). The mean difference score between baseline and wave two balance shows that balance declined after two years (Est=-0.67). In other words, a one-unit change in the baseline score results on average in a change of only 0.676 units and not a value of one, which it would be if no change had occurred. This amounts to a reduction of approximately 25% (normal distribution table) of one unit across this two-year period.

Physical activity (PA)

Baseline PA had a statistically significant direct effect on PA at wave two (Est=0.40). Based on modification indices a direct effect was introduced for handgrip strength on baseline PA (Est=-0.4) and wave two PA (Est=0.1) also.

Physical activity (PA) and balance

Direct effects

Our model assumes that PA influences balance, and analysis found that baseline PA has a statistically significant effect on baseline balance, where an extra MET-minute of PA per week improves balance by -0.10 SDs or 4% (normal distribution table) (Figure 1, path B), but had no statistically

Models	Information Criteria		Chi squared			RMSEA[1]		CFI[2]/TLI[3]		SRMR[4]
	Akaike (AIC)	Bayesian (BIC)	value	df	P-value	Estimate	90 % C.I.	CFI	TLI	Value
1.1 Model of balance at wave 1 & 2 (configural variance)	338974.21	339303.48	253.90	43	0.0000	0.03	(0.02, 0.03)	0.97	0.95	0.03
1.2 Model of balance at wave 1 & 2 (metric invariance)	339283.97	339571.20	342.29	49	0.0000	0.03	(0.02, 0.03)	0.96	0.94	0.05
1.3 Model of balance at wave 1 and 2 (scalar invariance)	339117.30	339397.53	313.07	50	0.0000	0.03	(0.02, 0.03)	0.96	0.95	0.04
1.4 Model of PA, balance & covariates at wave 1 & 2	90876.18	91322.56	503.74	205	0.0000	0.03	(0.03, 0.03)	0.95	0.94	0.04

Note: (Hoyle, 1995).
[1] RMSEA is the Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (≤0.05 with an upper limit (90% Confidence Interval (CI)) ≤0.08)
[2] CFI is the Comparative Fit Index (≥0.95).
[3] TLI is the Tucker Lewis Index (≥0.95)
[4] SRMR is the Standardised Root Mean Square Residual (≤0.08)

Table 2. Fit statistics for the model of balance at wave one and two, and the model of PA, balance and covariates.

significant effect (p>0.05) on wave two balance (Est=0.04) (Figure 1, path C). The data for PA and balance are at the same time point (baseline) and so it was not possible to also test the effect of baseline balance on PA, because there are no independent uncorrelated predictors for balance or PA. Baseline balance was shown to have a statistically significant positive effect on wave two PA (Est=-0.14) (Figure 1, path E).

Wave two PA has a statistically significant effect on wave two balance, where an extra MET-minute of PA per week improves balance by -0.05 SDs or 2% (normal distribution table) (Figure 1, path D).

Indirect effects

Baseline PA has a statistically significant total indirect effect on wave two balance via wave two PA (Figure 1, path F, D); baseline balance (Figure 1 path B, A); and via baseline balance on wave two PA (Figure 1, path B, E, D) (Est=-0.13), where an extra MET-minute per week of PA improves balance by -0.13 SDs or 5% over two years.

Covariates

Gender (Est=-1.28), medication (Est=-0.98), and Activities of Daily Living (ADL) (Est=-0.2) had a statistically significant effect on PA, and because PA indirectly affects balance, then an indirect effect on balance. For example, females, those taking medication, or with any ADL impairments engaged in less PA, resulting in poorer balance. Additionally, increased age (Est=0.15), fear of falling (Est=1.13), lower education (primary: Est=1.09; secondary: Est=0.7), pain (Est=-0.23), higher alcohol consumption (Est=-0.31), and problems performing ADL (Est=2.12), over and above their effect on PA (i.e. an independent effect), were found to adversely directly affect balance. Sleep quality and a history of falls were not significant for PA or balance.

Discussion

The findings from this secondary analysis of longitudinal data using a large representative sample of data over a 2-year period extends our understanding of the association between PA and balance in older adults. The results show that PA benefits balance over time, where an extra MET-minute per week improves balance by 4% over a one-week period; and an extra MET-minute per week improves balance by 5% over a two-year period. Thus, PA has a positive association with balance in the immediate term as well as a cumulative effect over time. Consequently, programmes of activity for older adults should be developed that may not only be beneficial to balance, but also more appropriate to this population given that this population are failing to meet MVPA guidelines[40]. For example, barriers to increasing PA levels may be overcome if advice includes activities that are carried out as part of everyday living, such as for example walking, or household chores. Additionally, there are both immediate and cumulative benefits of PA on balance in older adults, thus increased activity should be promoted across the lifecycle to ensure the maintenance or improvement in balance in later life.

Furthermore, the findings support previous research regarding the effect of the covariates of sex, medication use,

	Estimate	S.E.	Est./S.E.
Balance & PA Hypotheses:			
Balance (wave 1) on Balance (wave 2)	1.07 (0.95)	0.05	20.49
Physical Activity (wave 1) on Balance (wave 1)	-0.10(-0.12)	0.02	-4.19
Physical Activity (wave 1) on Balance (wave 2)	0.04(0.04)	0.03	1.39
Physical Activity (wave 2) on Balance (wave 2)	-0.05(-.05)	0.02	-2.71
Balance (wave 1) on Physical Activity (wave 2)	-0.14(-0.13)	0.03	-4.72
Physical Activity (wave 1) on Physical Activity (wave 2)	0.40(0.40)	0.05	7.78
Direct effects of covariates on physical activity:			
Sex	-1.28	0.19	-6.55
Age	-0.03	0.01	-3.46
Medication	-0.98	0.28	-3.53
Falls	0.67	0.20	3.42
Education-primary	0.42	0.27	1.56
Education-secondary	0.56	0.24	2.30
Pain	0.15	0.04	3.36
Alcohol	0.06	0.14	0.42
Sleep (2w1)	0.31	0.13	2.41
Sleep (3w1)	-0.12	0.13	-0.92
Fear of Falling	-0.52	0.18	-2.83
ADL	-0.99	0.25	-4.00
Direct effects of covariates on Balance:			
Sex	-	-	-
Age	0.15	0.01	12.25
Medication	0.13	0.10	1.21
Falls	-	-	-
Education-primary	1.10	0.18	6.00
Education-secondary	0.70	0.14	5.02
Pain	-0.23	0.03	-8.32
Alcohol	-0.31	0.08	-3.91
Sleep (2w1)	-	-	-
Sleep (3w1)	-	-	-
Fear of Falling	1.13	0.17	6.53
ADL	2.12	0.30	7.02

Note: Reference group for education is Education-third level (e.g. university level); Unstandardised results reported with standardised estimates in brackets; Direct effect of medication on balance is insignificant when controlling for the direct effect on PA; - indicates that modification indices suggested no direct effect was required.

Table 3. Table showing the relationship between Balance, the mediating variable of Physical Activity, and the covariates.

and ADL which were found to have a statistically significant adverse effect on the level of PA and balance. Previous research suggests that older women have a higher risk of falling than older men[41,42]; that medication use is associated with a higher risk of falls and fall-related injuries[43,44]; and where a systematic review found that higher levels of physical activity reduced the incident of ADL disability by 0.51 (95% CI: 0.38, 0.68; p<.001), based on nine longitudinal studies involving 17, 000 participants followed up for 3-10 years[45]. The findings also support that age[6,46,47,48], fear of falling[7], education level[49], pain[50], and alcohol consumption[1], are important risk factors for balance performance, where increased age and alcohol consumption, a fear of falling, a lower SES (indicated by education level), and the presence of pain, all adversely affect balance performance. However, sleep quality and fall history were not found to be statistically significant for PA or balance in our sample, when other relevant factors were statistically controlled. These findings do not support previous research where for example, Min & Slattumoor's (2016) systematic review (n=18 studies) found that insufficient sleep increased fall risk in older community-dwelling adults ≥65 years[51]. However, our study is a longitudinal analysis and most of the studies (11 of 18 studies) included in the review were cross-sectional[51]. Additionally, Edwards et al.'s (2013) longitudinal study (n=2, 299 participants; mean age: men 65.8 years, women 66.6 years; average follow-up 5.5 years) found that fall history in the last year predict falls and fractures[52]. Whilst, Edwards et al.'s (2013) sample reported a low level of fall history (men 7.9%; women 13.9%) which is similar to our sample (74% had no fall history), a lower level of alcohol consumption was reported with only 22% men and 4% women consuming alcohol over the recommended levels. Alcohol consumption in our sample was high with 91% indicating high consumption. Consequently, differences in study design and samples may explain the difference in findings.

Additionally, 74% of our sample had never fallen despite the potentially high risk of falls where 85% had a disability in one ADL, 55% experienced pain which affected their everyday activities, 80% were taking medication, and 91% had high alcohol consumption. This may be because fall history or sleep quality were not statistically significant for PA or balance in our sample, or perhaps because the sample included 58% of males who are at lower risk of falling which may have biased the findings. Also, this study only uses those individual measures (TUG, MMSE, vision, hearing, handgrip, steadiness) available from TILDA and future research should consider additional measures to further develop a composite/latent measure of balance. Furthermore, the measure of PA is self-reported and may be influenced by health status, mood, depression, anxiety, or cognitive ability, as well as seasonal variation in PA patterns, social desirability, or recall issues[53-55]. As a result, actual levels of activity may be inaccurate, where VPA may be overestimated, and LPA or MPA underestimated[53,54]. Future research should consider the use of objective measures of PA to minimise these limitations. Future research should also consider using an objective measure of vision such as LogMAR charts (Minimal Angle of Resolution) and hearing such as the pure tone audiometry test (PTA) to explore the unexplained correlation between self-reported measures of vision and hearing caused by potential bias[27,56-59].

Despite these limitations, this is one of the first studies to explore the association between free-living PA and a comprehensive measure of balance over time. The study uses confirmatory factor analysis within a SEM approach to develop a robust and comprehensive measure of PA and balance as it addresses measurement error[36,60]. It also uses MLR, a model-based strategy for dealing with missing data which enables all participants to be included in the analysis therefore reducing the bias of the results[39]. Furthermore, multiple functional tests across neuromuscular (TUG, [61]; grip strength test, [62]; Steadiness, [63]), cognitive (MMSE, [31]), and sensory (vision and hearing, [64,65] body systems are included to develop the composite/latent construct of balance, and so adheres to Horak's contemporary theory of balance[14,15]. Additionally, functional tests are included that already in use within clinical settings[66], and so could be easily integrated into falls assessment programmes. As a result, the approach used increases the opportunity to explore the association between PA and balance more robustly and accurately.

In summary, this study provides methodologically robust evidence from the analysis of data from the TILDA study that PA improves or maintains balance measures in older adults both in the short-term and cumulatively over a two-year period. It shows that remaining active in older age can improve balance in both the short and longer term, thus is likely to prevent falls in older adults.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the Irish Social Science Data Archive (ISSDA) at University College Dublin http://www.ucd.ie/issda/data/tilda/ ; and the Interuniversity Consortium for Political and Social Research (ICPSR) at the University of Michigan http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/icpsrweb/ICPSR/studies/34315 for providing access to the TILDA data free of charge.

IIM and MAT are funded by the European Union program Horizon 2020 (H2020-Grant 634270) as part of the SITLESS consortium.

All authors have contributed to the conception or design of the study which includes drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content; final approval of the version to be published; and have agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. Ilona McMullan and Brendan Bunting are responsible in addition for the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data.

References

1. Worldwide Health Organisation. (WHO). WHO Global report on falls prevention in older age 2007; Downloaded from: http://www.who.int/ageing/publications/Falls_prevention7March.pdf accessed on 27th September 2015
2. Worldwide Health Organisation (WHO). World Report on ageing and health 2015; Downloaded on 2nd November 2015 from: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/186463/1/9789240694811-eng.pdf?ua=.
3. Public Health England (PHE). Falls: applying all our health 2017); Download on 7th June 2018 from: https://www.gov.uk/government/

publications/falls-applying-all-our-health/falls-applying-all-our-health.
4. Bauman A, Merom D, Bull F, Buchner D, Fiatarone MA. Updating the evidence for physical activity: summative reviews of the epidemiological evidence, prevalence, and interventions to promote 'active aging'. Gerontologist 2016; 58: 268-80.
5. Fransen M, McConnell S, Harmer AR, Van der Esch M, Simic M, Bennell KL. Exercise for osteoarthritis of the knee. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2015; 1:CD004376.
6. Gillespie WJ, Sherrington C, Gates S, Clemson LM, Lamb SE. Interventions for preventing falls in older people living in the community. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2012; (9):CD007146.
7. Howe TE, Rochester L, Neil F, Skelton DA, Ballinger C. Exercise for improving balance in older people. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2011; (11):CD004963.
8. Lee IM, Shiroma EJ, Lobelo F, Puska P, Blair SN, Katzmarzyk PT, et al. Effect of physical inactivity on major non-communicable diseases worldwide: an analysis of burden of disease and life expectancy. Lancet 2012; 380: 219-29.
9. Reiner M, Niermann C, Jekauc D, Woll A. Long term health benefits of physical activity- a systematic review of longitudinal studies. BMC Public Health 2013; 13: 813.
10. Stubbs B, Brefka S, Denkinger MD. What works to prevent falls in community dwelling older adults? Umbrella review of meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials. Physical Therapy 2015; 95(8):1095-110.
11. Zhu W, Wadley VG, Howard VJ, Hutto B, Blair SN Hooker SP. Objectively measured physical activity and cognitive function in older adults. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise 2016; 47-53.
12. Morris JN, Hardman AE. Walking to health. Sports Med 1997; 23(5): 306-32.
13. Hoffmann TC, Maher CG, Briffa T, Sherrington C, Bennell K, Alison J, Singh MF, Glasziou PP. Prescribing exercise interventions for patients with chronic conditions. CMAJ 2016; 188(7):510-518.
14. McMullan II, McDonough SM, Tully MA, Cupples M, Casson K, Bunting BP. The association between balance and free-living physical activity in an older healthy community-dwelling adult population: A systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Public Health 2018; 18(1):431.
15. Horak FB. Postural orientation and equilibrium: what do we need to know about neural control of balance to prevent falls? Age and Ageing 1995; 35-52.
16. Horak FB. Postural orientation and equilibrium: what do we need to know about neural control of balance to prevent falls? Age and Ageing 2006); 35-52.
17. Horak FB, Wrisley DM, Frank J. The Balance Evaluation Systems Test (BESTest) to Differentiate Balance Deficits. Physical Therapy 2009; 89(5): 484-98.
18. Manini TM, Visser M, Won-Park S, Patel KV, Strotmeyer ES, Chen H, Goodpaster B, De Rekeneire N, Newman AB, Simonsick EM, Kritchevsky SB, Ryder K, Schwartz AV, Harris TB. Knee extension strength cutpoints for maintaining mobility. J Am Geriatr Soc 2007; 55: 451-57.
19. Sibley KM, Beauchamp MK, Van Ootghem K, Straus SE, Jaglal SB. Using the systems framework for postural control to analyse the components of balance evaluated in standardized balance measures: a scoping review. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 2015; 96:122-32.
20. Vieira ER, Palmer RC Chaves PHM. Prevention of falls in older people living in the community. BMJ 2016; 353:i1419.
21. Barrett A, Savva G, Timonen V, Kenny R. Fifty Plus in Ireland 2011: First results from the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (TILDA) 2011; Dublin: The Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing. Wave 2.
22. Kearney PM, Cronin H, O'Regan C. Cohort profile: The Irish Longitudinal Study of Ageing. Int J Epidemiol 2011; 40: 877-84.
23. Kenny R, Whelan B, Cronin H, Kamiya Y, Kearney P, O'Regan C, et al. The Design of the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing. Dublin: Trinity College Dublin; 2010.
24. Lin FR, Ferrucci L. Hearing Loss and Falls Among Older Adults in the United States. Arch Intern Med 2011; 172(4): 369-371.
25. Black A, Wood J, Lovie-Kitchin JE, Newman B. Visual Impairment and postural sway among older adults with glaucoma. Optometry and vision science: official publication of the American Academy of Optometry 2008; 85:489-97.
26. Pickard CM, Sullivan PE, Allison GT, Singer KP Is there a difference in hip joint position sense between young and older groups? J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2003; 58(7):631-35.
27. El-Gasim M, Munoz B, West SK, Scott AW. Discrepancies in the concordance of self-reported vision status and visual acuity in the Salisbury eye evaluation study. Ophthalmology 2012; 119(1):106-11.
28. Valete-Rosalino CM, Rozenfeld S. Auditory screening in the elderly: comparison between self-report and audiometry. Rev Bras Otorrinolaringol 2005; 71(2): 193-200.
29. Forbes SC, Forbes D, Forbes S, Blake CM, Chong LY, Thiessen EJ, Rutjes AWS, Little JP. Exercise interventions for maintaining cognitive function in cognitively healthy people in late life. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2015; Issue 5. Art. No.: CD011704.
30. Tangen GG, Engedal K, Bergland A, Moger TA, Mengshoel AM. Relationships Between Balance and Cognition in Patients With Subjective Cognitive Impairment, Mild Cognitive Impairment, and Alzheimer Disease, Physical Therapy 2014; 94(8): 1123-34.
31. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state": a practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. J Psychiatr Res 1975; 12: 189-98.
32. Clark DO, Callahan CM, Counsell SR. Reliability and validity of a steadiness score. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society 2005; 53(9): 1582-86.
33. Lindenberger EC, Landefeld CS, Sands LP, Counsell SR, Fortinsky RH, Palmer RM, Kresevic DM, Covinsky KE. Unsteadiness reported by older hospitalized patients predicts functional decline. J Am Geriatr Soc 2003; 51: 621-22.
34. Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjostrom M, Bauman AE, Booth ML, Ainsworth BE, Pratt M, Ekelund U, Yngve A, Sallis JF, Oja P. International Physical Activity Questionnaire: 12-Country Reliability and Validity. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc. 2003; 35(8): 1381-95.
35. Ferrer E, Hamagami F, McArdle JJ. Modeling latent growth curves with incomplete data using different types of structural equation modeling and multilevel software. Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal 2009); 11(3): 452-83. Doi: 10.1207/s15328007sem1103_8.
36. Hoyle RH. Structural Equation Modelling: Concepts, issues and applications. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications; 1995.
37. Stoel RD, van Den Wittenboer G, Hox J. Analysing longitudinal data using multilevel regression and latent growth curve analysis. Metodologia de las ciencias del comportamiento 2003; 5:1-21.
38. Schafer JL, Graham JW. Missing data: our view of the state of the art. Psychological Methods 2002; 7(2):147-77.
39. Enders CK. Dealing with missing data in developmental research. Child Dev Perspect. 2013); 7:27-31.
40. Hallal PC, Andersen LB, Bull F, Guthold R, Haskell W, Ekelund U. Global physical activity levels: surveillance, progress, pitfalls, and prospects. The Lancet 2012; 380:247-57.
41. Chen CM, Chang WC, Lan TY. Identifying factors associated with

changes in physical functioning in an older population. Geriatrics Gerontology 2015; 15:156-64.

42. Collard RM, Boter H, Schoevers RA, Vashaar OR. Prevalence of frailty in community dwelling older persons: A systematic review. J. Am Geriatr Soc 2012; 60:1487-92.
43. Blake AJ, Morgan K, Bendall MJ, Dallosso H, Ebrahim SBJ, Arie THD, Fentem PH, Bassey EJ. Falls by elderly people at home: prevalence and associated factors. Age Ageing 1988; 17:365-72.
44. Jacqmin-Gadda H, Fourrier A, Commenges D, Dartigues JF. Risk factors for fractures in the elderly. Epidemiology 1998; 9:417-23.
45. Tak E, Kuiper R, Chorus A, Hopman-Rock M. Prevention of onset and progression of basic ADL disability by physical activity in community dwelling older adults: A meta-analysis. Ageing Research Reviews 2013; 12:329-228.
46. Bucknix F, Rolland Y, Reginster JY, Ricour C, Petermans J, Bruyere O. Burden of frailty in the elderly population: perspectives for a public health challenge. Archives of Public Health 2015; 73:19.
47. Brigola AG, Rossetti ES, Rodrigues dos Santos B, Neri AL, Zazzetta MS, Inouye K, Pavarini SC. Relationship between cognition and frailty in elderly: a systematic review. Dement Neuropsychol 2015; 9(2): 110-19.
48. Karlsson MK, Magnusson H, Schewelov T, Rosengren BE. Prevention of falls in the elderly-a review. Osteoporosis International 2013; 24:747-62.
49. Preston SH, Hill ME, Drevenstedt GL. Childhood conditions that predict survival to advanced ages among African-Americans. Social Science and Medicine 1998; 47(9):1231-46.
50. Marmot M, Banks J, Blundell R, Lessof C, Nazroo J. Health, wealth and lifestyles of the older population in England. The 2002 English Longitudinal Study of Ageing. London: IFS; 2002.
51. Min Y, Slattumoor PW. Sleep and Risk of Falls in Community-Dwelling Older Adults: A Systematic Review. Journal of Applied Gerontology 2016; 37(9):1059-84.
52. Edwards MH, Jameson K, Denison H, Harvey NC, Sayer AA, Dennison EM, Cooper C. Clinical risk factors, bone density and fall history in the prediction of incident fracture among men and women. Bone 2013; 52(2):541-47.
53. Dyrstad SM, Hansen DM, Holme IM, Anderssen SA. Comparison of self-reported versus accelerometer-measured physical activity. Med Sci Sports Exec 2014; 46:99-106.
54. Murphy SL. Review of physical activity measurement using accelerometers in older adults: considerations for research design and conduct. Prev Med 2009; 48:108-14.
55. Saelens BE, Sallis JF, Frank LD, Cain KL, Conway TL, Chapman JE, Slymen DJ, Kerr J. Neighborhood environmental and psychosocial correlates of adults' physical activity. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2012; 44:637-46.
56. Hassan E. Recall rias can be a threat to retrospective and prospective research designs. The Internet Journal of Epidemiology 2005; 3(2):1-7.
57. Mazor KM, Clauser BE, Field T, Yood RA, Gurwitz JH. A demonstration of the impact of response bias on the results of patient satisfaction surveys. HSR: Health Services Research 2002; 37(5):1403-17.
58. Ramkissoona I, Cole M. Self-reported hearing difficulty versus audiometric screening in younger and older smokers and non-smokers. J Clin. Med Res 2011; 3(4):183-90.
59. Sakurai R, Fujiwara Y, Ishihara M, Higuchi T, Uchida H, Imanaka K. Age-related self-overestimation of step-over ability in healthy older adults and its relationship to fall risk. BMC Geriatrics 2013; 13:44.
60. McCoach DB, Black AC, O'Connell AA. Errors of inference in structure equation modelling. Psychology in the Schools 2007; 44(5): 461-70. Doi: 10.1002/pits.20238.
61. Podsiadlo D, Richardson S. The timed "Up & Go"; a test of basic functional mobility for frail elderly persons. J Am Geriatr Soc 1991; 39(2):142-48.
62. Rantanen T, Era P, Kauppinen M, Heikkinen E. Maximal isometric muscle strength and socio-economic status, health and physical activity in 75-year-old persons. J Aging Phys Activity 1994; 2:206-20.
63. Maki BE. Gait changes in older adults: Predictors of falls or indicators of fear. J Am Geriatr Soc 1997; 45:313-20.
64. Kaplan GA, Camacho T. Perceived health and mortality: a nine-year follow-up of the human population laboratory cohort. Am J Epidemiol 1983; 117:292-304.
65. Idler EL, Angel RJ. Self-rated health and mortality in the NHANES-I epidemiologic follow-up study. Am J Public Health 1990; 80:446-52.
66. Graham JE, Ostir GV, Fisher SR, Ottenbacher KJ. Assessing walking speed in clinical research: a systematic review. Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice 2008; ISSN 1356-1294.